



IMF FINANCIAL SURVEILLANCE

Draft Issues Paper for an Evaluation by The Independent Evaluation Office (IEO)

April 11, 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Draft Issues Paper discusses the proposed scope and design of an IEO evaluation of IMF financial surveillance, and will be finalized following discussions with the Executive Board, IMF staff, and other stakeholders.¹
- 2. Following the global financial crisis, the IMF invested heavily to strengthen its financial surveillance.² It analyzed risks to the financial sector and recommended actions to restore financial stability. To facilitate this work, it expanded the Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP), initiated as voluntary technical assistance after the Asian crisis, to require mandatory surveillance of financial centers deemed to be systemically important.³ It invigorated efforts to integrate financial and macroeconomic analysis into Fund bilateral and multilateral surveillance. And, together with, and as a member of, the Financial Stability Board it contributed to monitoring and assessing vulnerabilities affecting the global financial system, including by coleading the Early Warning Exercise. The objective of the IEO evaluation is to assess these initiatives and their impact on IMF financial surveillance.
- 3. The note is organized as follows. Section II provides an overview of the IMF's strategy, activities, and products in the areas of financial surveillance. Section III discusses the objectives and dimensions of the evaluation, and Section IV outlines its main building blocks. Annex 1 presents a list of countries that were deemed systemic for the purpose of mandatory Financial System Stability Assessments (FSSAs), and Annex 2 lists IMF documents relevant to the proposed evaluation.

II. IMF FINANCIAL SECTOR STRATEGY AND ACTIVITY

4. IMF financial surveillance expanded in depth and breadth following the global financial crisis. This section describes the strategic and analytical underpinnings of this expansion, and the three major initiatives underlying it: promoting better integration of financial sector issues into the Fund's surveillance products, reforms to the FSAP, and activities to enhance the influence of IMF analysis and advice.

¹ When the Board's Evaluation Committee (EVC) last discussed the IEO work program (in February 2015), there was a consensus that this was a rapidly evolving and critical area of IMF work that would benefit from an independent assessment by the IEO.

² Financial surveillance is defined, as in IMF (2012), as the intersection between the Fund's financial sector work and its surveillance activity. References to financial surveillance include macro-financial surveillance as defined by the IMF (discussed below).

³ For other countries, FSAPs remain voluntary and are less frequent. The Fund's primary responsibility in both the voluntary FSAP and the mandatory program is to assess the stability of the financial sector through Financial System Stability Assessments (FSSAs). FSSAs are now mandatory at least every five years for the 29 countries whose financial sectors were deemed to be systemic.

4

- 5. **Financial Surveillance Strategy.** The IMF's 2012 Financial Surveillance Strategy (and the 2013 Progress Report) proposed a series of steps "so that the Fund can fulfill its mandate to ensure the effective operation of the international monetary system and support global economic and financial stability." It listed the following three priorities:
- (i) Strengthen the analytical underpinnings of macrofinancial risk assessments and policy advice.
- (ii) Upgrade the instruments and products of financial surveillance to foster an integrated policy response to risks.
- (iii) Engage more actively with stakeholders in order to improve the traction and impact of financial surveillance.
- 6. The strategy paper emphasized that the experience of the global financial crisis pointed to the need for greater efforts to explore interdependencies between real and financial sectors. An integrated macro-financial framework was needed to better identify risks to financial stability, highlighting the importance of strengthening macro-financial surveillance within the Fund. The IMF also opened the door to providing macro-prudential advice, integrating and complementing its traditionally separate advice on macroeconomic policy and the financial sector.
- 7. **Macro-Financial Surveillance**. Since the global financial crisis (GFC), the IMF has devoted significant effort to raising awareness of the importance of integrating financial sector issues into surveillance, but has acknowledged that this goal remains work in progress. The 2014 Triennial Surveillance Review (TSR) called for mainstreaming macro-financial surveillance into Article IV consultations, and was followed in 2015-16 by a pilot program in which country teams focused on one or more macro-critical financial sector issues in order to build experience and further develop the IMF's approach in this area. A Guidance Note for Surveillance (SM/15/71) underscored that macro-financial analysis should be an integral part of Article IV surveillance.⁴ A recent paper (IMF, 2017) reported that the Fund had made good progress over the past two years in integrating macro-financial analysis into Article IV surveillance, while calling for continuing efforts to deepen such analysis. The Board approved staff's proposal to mainstream this approach across the membership.
- 8. With respect to multilateral surveillance in 2011, the IMF launched an effort at formally integrating macroeconomic and financial assessments (i.e., WEO and GFSR) through a Consolidated Multilateral Surveillance Review (CMSR). However, the CMSR was produced only twice and was terminated in 2012. Although the CMSR was short-lived, macro-financial analysis has grown in scope and content, particularly in GFSRs, and the Managing Director's Global Policy Agenda has served to present high-level integrated policy messages. In addition, the IMF issued

-

⁴ The impetus to integrate macro-financial analysis is already active in all countries, and the macro-financial elements of the guidance note for surveillance under Article IVs apply to all country teams.

Spillover Reports during 2011–15, which included analyses of financial spillovers from developments and policies of the major economies.⁵

- 9. **Strengthening FSAPs**. Following the 2009 FSAP review, FSAPs underwent major reforms, including the introduction in 2010 of mandatory FSSAs for systemically important financial centers. The 2014 FSAP review provided a largely favorable assessment of the program, focusing, inter alia, on the following refinements to the prevailing modus operandi:
- Continue to shift the focus of all components of the financial stability assessments towards systemic risk.
- Upgrade analytical underpinnings and assessment of vulnerabilities and resilience through deeper treatment of interconnectedness, better integration with stress tests, and more systematic analysis of cross-border exposure and spillovers.
- Explore a macrofinancial approach to supervisory standards.
- Ensure more systematic treatment of macro-prudential policy issues.
- Improve traction and maximize input to Article IV consultations by using macrofinancial relevance as the organizing principle for streamlining and prioritizing FSAP findings and recommendations.
- 10. **Partnering for greater traction**. Strengthening traction of surveillance has been a perennial IMF concern. Since the GFC, the IMF has intensified its efforts to collaborate with other organizations to achieve greater visibility and leverage for its analysis and recommendations. These efforts include:
- Participation in the Financial Stability Board (FSB): The IMF became a member of the FSB when it was established in April 2009, as the successor to the Financial Stability Forum (FSF). The FSB mandate was to promote financial stability by coordinating and strengthening regulation and supervision and by exploring sources of financial risks. At the request of the G20, the IMF collaborates with the FSB in identifying macroeconomic and financial risks and the actions needed to address them.
- Early Warning Exercise: In 2009, at the request of the G20, the IMF in partnership with the FSB launched a semi-annual Early Warning Exercise (EWE) to explore tail risks to the global economy. The results of the EWE are presented to the IMFC as well as to the G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors.
- A clearer delineation of responsibilities with the World Bank in conducting joint FSAPs.

⁵ Spillover Reports were discontinued in 2016, but the analyses are expected to be reflected in the WEO and GFSR.

III. EVALUATION THEMES AND QUESTIONS

11. The evaluation will assess the efficacy of the IMF's post-crisis efforts to strengthen financial surveillance. It will ask whether financial surveillance addresses the weaknesses identified as having undermined the IMF's effectiveness in warning about financial vulnerabilities and risks ahead of the GFC, what role it has played in shaping post-crisis reforms, and in addressing new challenges to financial stability. The emphasis will be on the analyses and advice to systemic financial centers and other financial systems with the potential to undermine global stability. But the evaluation will also examine financial surveillance in non-systemic countries.

The evaluation will assess:

- How integration of financial and macroeconomic analysis has impacted IMF surveillance;
- The IMF's advice on strengthening financial resiliency and addressing systemic risks;
- The evolution of FSAPs and FSSAs in identifying and mitigating risks; and
- Traction and stakeholder perspectives of financial surveillance following the GFC.
- 12. Following are some of the key evaluation questions that will be examined:

Strategic priorities:

- (i) Are the main goals and activities in the Financial Surveillance Strategy well aligned with the lessons from the GFC?
- (ii) Has the IMF's strategy built appropriately on internal and external analyses and views?
- (iii) Is the balance adequate in the allocation of attention and resources between systemic and non-systemic countries, and between cross-border and individual country risks?
- (iv) How much progress has been made in building up the IMF's human capital in financial sector issues, and is it deployed effectively? Is relevant internal learning adequate across the IMF? Is there appropriate interaction with the finance industry and other official groups?

Macro-financial surveillance:

- (i) To what extent has the analysis of financial risks and their macroeconomic impact been strengthened? Has the IMF developed sound analytical underpinnings for the its macroprudential advice? What lessons have been drawn from the pilot program?
- (ii) What progress has been made in integrating financial sector trends and risks into the baseline macro-framework and the IMF policy advice in multilateral surveillance? How well are cross-border spillovers analyzed? Are these issues well integrated in the discussions with authorities during Article IV consultations?

- (iii) To what extent have surveillance activities and outputs discussed the systemic financial risks that could threaten macroeconomic stability? How effective has the IMF been in monitoring and assessing the evolving risks in non-bank financial institutions and markets? Are there remaining gaps or overlaps?
- (iv) Are structures and incentives in the IMF well aligned to support the integration of macroeconomic and financial surveillance?

FSAPs/FSSAs:

- (i) Have FSAPs/FSSAs evolved appropriately to reflect the lessons learned from the crisis?
- (ii) Have the positive trends identified in the 2014 FSAP review, in terms of quality of analysis and presentation continued? Have the lessons from the 2014 FSAP review been addressed?
- (iii) Have FSAPs and FSSAs become a more effective and efficient instrument to identify and mitigate systemic risks?

Partnership and traction

- (i) Do authorities find IMF financial surveillance helpful and effective at the country level?
- (ii) How effectively has the IMF collaborated with the Financial Stability Board (FSB)? How is the IMF's analytical contribution to design and testing of the new multilateral regulatory framework viewed? Do member countries find the Early Warning Exercise (EWE) useful?
- (iii) How effective has been the collaboration with other relevant multilateral organizations, e.g., BIS, World Bank, RFAs?
- (iv) Do authorities believe that IMF's increased efforts to partner with other organizations have led to more effective surveillance and greater traction? Have these efforts weakened the IMF's independence or its ability to "speak truth to power"?

IV. TOOLS AND BUILDING BLOCKS OF THE EVALUATION

13. The evaluation team will review IMF publications and internal documents, and relevant work by academics, authorities, regulators, and other international organizations. It will conduct surveys of authorities, Board members, and Staff. Surveys will be complemented by structured and semi-structured interviews of relevant stakeholders. The evaluation will also build on the findings and conclusions of earlier IEO studies, and it will be informed by a series of background papers addressing, among others, the following topics:

(i) Macro-financial integration in multilateral surveillance

14. Focusing on the GFSR, WEO, and the risk analysis in the EWEs, this paper will assess the extent to which financial stability analysis has been integrated into macroeconomic analysis; and

conversely, whether financial sector surveillance is sufficiently informed by the findings and conclusions of IMF macroeconomic surveillance. In particular, it will examine whether the discussion of risks in GFSRs adequately incorporates macroeconomic factors (e.g., the effects of quantitative easing on the profitability of banks and market risks), whether the WEO gives adequate weight to financial stability considerations and risks, and how financial spillover work has been covered since the Spillover Report was discontinued. It will assess whether multilateral and bilateral surveillance are well integrated, and examine how the EWE has integrated the macro and financial stability analysis, which are led by the IMF and the FSB respectively.

(ii) The IMF's macro-financial toolkit

15. This paper will examine progress made in upgrading the IMF's analytical toolkit to assess macro-financial linkages and financial markets risks (including to payments systems and market liquidity), both in banking and non-banking institutions. The paper will look at development of tools to assess how financial system factors—including regulatory changes, new macro-prudential policies, and balance sheet issues may interact with macro performance through banking and non-banking channels. The paper will also assess how well analytical tools are being disseminated and applied across the IMF, and how they relate to the analytical frameworks used in other organizations, including central banks and other multilateral institutions.

(iii) FSAP/FSSA quality and effectiveness

16. Drawing on the IMF's post-crisis FSAP reviews and the country studies commissioned by this evaluation (below), this paper will assess the evolution of financial stability assessments following the crisis, focusing on those prepared after the conclusion of the 2014 FSAP review. It will serve to validate the findings and conclusions of the 2014 review, and to assess the extent to which its concerns are being addressed. For example, the paper will assess whether FSSA recommendations are now prioritized according to their impact on financial stability rather than their compliance with international standards. It will also examine the extent to which authorities find FSSAs useful in furthering needed reforms, and whether IMF advice is sufficiently candid. The paper will assess the extent to which FSSAs are consistent with the financial reform agenda articulated in multilateral surveillance, while being sufficiently attuned to the country's institutional features. The paper will also assess how data gaps are being addressed, and how much they compromise FSAP effectiveness. Finally, it will examine how FSAP resources are allocated across different countries.

(iv) IMF contribution and collaboration on the global financial reform agenda

17. This paper will take stock of the IMF's role in and influence on the post-crisis overhaul of the global regulatory system aimed at strengthening financial resiliency and reducing financial stability risks. It will look at the Fund's contribution to the broader debate on the financial reform agenda, in particular its role as a member of the FSB in its work to strengthen global regulatory oversight, and to bring a macroeconomic perspective to this work. The paper will take account of

the views of stakeholders, including some policy makers and academics who are concerned that some of the structural factors behind the 2008 crisis have not been sufficiently addressed, as well as the views of many in the financial services industry concerned that regulatory overreach has had adverse and unintended consequences (see References).

(v) IMF internal governance and organization to strengthen financial surveillance

18. This paper will assess progress in building the Fund's human capital in macro-financial issues and how the organization of work and collaboration across departments has evolved to support the expansion in the scope of financial sector work following the crisis and the integration of macro-financial analysis. For example, to what extent have the staff's financial skills been enhanced through recruitment, external assignments, and training? Given the emphasis on mainstreaming of macro-financial Article IVs, what efforts have been undertaken to ensure adequate financial expertise is available, and to mitigate silo behavior and foster cross-departmental cooperation, in particular between MCM and Area Departments? Are there systems to ensure that SPR, the RMU and other relevant units get the necessary information to "connect the dots" and are their responsibilities sufficiently clear?

(vi) Country case studies

19. The evaluation will be informed by country case studies that examine the quality of IMF bilateral surveillance from a number of perspectives, including how well surveillance focuses on and highlights key risks and macro-financial linkages, how Article IV consultations and FSAPs reinforce each other to address the country's financial policy challenges, whether such advice is consistent with IMF multilateral surveillance where applicable, whether the macro-financial pilots have improved the quality of surveillance in terms of forecasting, risk assessments and advice, and country authority perspectives of the value of bilateral financial surveillance and how it may be improved. The case studies will include economies at the center of the GFC (e.g., the United States and United Kingdom); major economies within the euro area (e.g., France, Germany, Italy as well as at the euro area level); major emerging market economies (e.g., Brazil, China, Mexico); as well as a sample of smaller EMEs and low-income countries.

ANNEX 1. JURISDICTIONS WITH SYSTEMICALLY IMPORTANT FINANCIAL SECTORS

Australia	Hong Kong SAR	Poland
Austria	India	Russian Federation
Belgium	Ireland	Singapore
Brazil	Italy	Spain
Canada	Japan	Sweden
China	Korea	Switzerland
Denmark	Luxembourg	Turkey
Finland	Mexico	United Kingdom
France	Netherlands	United States
Germany	Norway	

Countries in italics were added in 2013.

ANNEX 2. RELEVANT IMF DOCUMENTS¹

Crisis Lessons

2009	Initial Lessons of the Crisis
2009	Initial Lessons of the Crisis for the Global Architecture and the IMF
2009	Lessons of the Global Crisis for Macroeconomic Policy
2011	Analytics of Systemic Crises and the Role of Global Financial Safety Nets
2016	The Financial Crisis and Information Gaps—Second Phase of the G-20 Data Gaps Initiative
	(DGI-2)—First Progress Report (EBS/16/80)

Financial Sector Surveillance

2009	Financial Sector Surveillance Guidance Note
2010	Financial Sector Surveillance and the Mandate of the Fund
2010	A Fair and Substantial Contribution by the Financial Sector, Final Report for the G-20
2010	The Fund's Mandate—The Legal Framework
2010	Understanding Financial Interconnectedness
2012	The IMF's Financial Surveillance Strategy
2012	Enhancing Financial Sector Surveillance in Low-Income Countries: Financial Deepening
	and Macro-stability
2012	Strategic Plan for Financial Sector Surveillance
2012	Guidance Note for Article IV Consultations
2013	Modifications to the Current List of Financial Soundness Indicators
2013	Financial Surveillance Strategy—Progress Report
2015	Guidance Note for Surveillance Under Article IV Consultations
2016	IMF Staff Collaboration with the Financial Stability Board on Information on Global
	Systemically Important Banks (SM/16/287)
2017	Ensuring Financial Stability in Countries with Islamic Banking (SM/17/3)

Integration of Macro-Financial analysis

2010	The IMF-FSB Early Warning Exercise: Design and Methodological Toolkit
2010	The Fund's Role Regarding Cross-Border Capital Flows
2011	The Multilateral Aspects of Policies Affecting Capital Flows
2012	Macrofinancial Stress Testing—Principles and Practices
2012	Macrofinancial Stress Testing—Principles and Practices Background Material
2013	2013 Spillover Report
2014	A Macrofinancial Approach to supervisory Standards Assessments
2014	Strengthening surveillance of financial sector issues in Article IV consultations
2015	FDMD on Strategy for Mainstreaming Macro-Financial Surveillance (blog)

 1 Excludes GFSRs, WEOs, individual FSAPs/FSSAs and Article IV Consultations, Triennial Surveillance Reviews, Staff Discussion Notes, and Research Publications.

_

2015	2015 Risk Report—Adapting to New Challenges
2015	Departmental Plans to Mainstream Macrofinancial Surveillance
2015	Balance Sheet Analysis in Fund Surveillance
2015	How to Integrate Macrofinancial Assessments in the Pilot Article IV Staff Reports—MCM-SPR note
2015	Making Macrofinancial Surveillance Work
2016	Macroeconomic Developments and Prospects in Low-Income Developing Countries—2016 (SM/16/325)
2017	Approaches to Macrofinancial Surveillance in Article IV Reports
Macro	o-prudential analysis and advice
2010	Central Banking Lessons from the Crisis
2011	Macroprudential Policy—What Instruments and How to Use Them? Lessons from Country Experiences
2011	Towards Effective Macroprudential Policy Frameworks—An Assessment of Stylized
2011	Institutional Models
2011	Staff Note on Macroprudential Policies—Institutions and Instruments
2011	Macroprudential Policy—An Organizing Framework
2011	Macroprudential Policy—An Organizing Framework—Background Paper
2012	The Liberalization and Management of Capital Flows—An Institutional View
2013	Key Aspects of Macroprudential Policy
2013	Key Aspects of Macroprudential Policy—Background Paper
2013	The Interaction of Monetary and Macroprudential Policies
2013	The Interaction of Monetary and Macroprudential Policies—Background Paper
2013	Implementing Macroprudential Policies—Selected Legal Issues
2014	Staff Guidance Note on Macroprudential Policy—Detailed Guidance Note on Instruments
2014	Staff Guidance Note on Macroprudential Policy
2014	Staff Guidance Note on Macroprudential Policy—Considerations for Low-Income Countries
2015	Group of Twenty—Measures Which are Both Macroprudential and Capital Flow
	Management Measures: IMF Approach
2015	Group of Twenty – Measures Which are Both Macroprudential and Capital Flow
	Management Measures: IMF Approach (EBS/15/33 Strictly Confidential)
2015	Cooperation on Approaches to Macro-Prudential and Capital Flow Management
	Measures—Update by the IMF and the OECD to the G-20 (EBS/15/92)
2015	Monetary Policy and Financial Stability (FO/DIS/15/138)
2016	Elements of Effective Macroprudential Policies—Lessons from International Experience

Staff Notes for the G-20 Presidency—Enhancing Resilience (EBS/16/133)

(EBS/16/82 joint IMF, FSB and BIS)

2016

FSAP

- 2009 Financial Sector Assessment Program After Ten Years-Experience and Reforms for the Next Decade
- 2009 Integrating Financial Sector Issues and FSAP Assessments into Surveillance—Progress Report
- 2010 Integrating Stability Assessments Under the Financial Sector Assessment Program into Article IV Surveillance—Background Material
- 2010 Integrating Stability Assessments Under the Financial Sector Assessment Program into Article IV Surveillance
- 2013 Mandatory Financial Stability Assessments Under the Financial Sector Assessment Program—Update
- 2013 Financial Surveillance Strategy—Progress Report
- 2014 Review of the Financial Sector Assessment Program—Further Adaptation to the Post-Crisis Era
- 2014 Review of the Financial Sector Assessment Program—Further Adaptation to the Post-Crisis Era—Background Studies

REFERENCES

Admati, Anat, 2013, "Five Years of Financial Non-Reform," Project Syndicate, September,
, 2016, "It takes a Village to Maintain a Dangerous Financial System," Forthcoming in <i>Just Financial Market: Finance in a Just Society,</i> Oxford University Press, 2016.
Battiston, Caldarelli, Georg, May and Stiglitz, 2013, "Complex Derivatives," Nature Physics, Vol 9, March.
Geithner, Timothy, 2017, "Are We Safe Yet? How to Manage Financial Crises," Foreign Affairs, January/February.
Haldane, Andrew, 2012, "The dog and the frisbee," Speech at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City's 366 th economic policy symposium.
Hoenig, Thomas, 2015, "The Leverage Ratio and Derivatives," Presented to the Exchequer Club of Washington, DC., September.
International Monetary Fund, 2012, "The IMF's Financial Surveillance Strategy."
, 2013, "Key Aspects of Macroprudential Policy."
, 2014a, "Triennial Surveillance Review."
, 2014b, "Review of the Financial Sector Assessment Program—Further Adaptation to the Post-Crisis Era."
, 2017, "Approaches to Macrofinancial Surveillance in Article IV Reports," SM/17/22.
Kay, John, 2015, "Other People's Money: The Real Business of Finance," Public Affairs, New York.
King, Mervyn, 2016, "The End of Alchemy: Money, Banking and the Future of the Global Economy."
Rogoff, Kenneth, 2012, "Ending the Financial Arms Race, Project Syndicate, September.
, 2016, "The Overselling of Financial Transaction Taxes, Project Syndicate, June.
Sarin, Natasha and Lawrence Summers, 2016, "Have big banks gotten safer?" Brookings Papers